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A simplified method, by comparison with that of [1], is given for simulating moving temperature fields using ohmic resistance electric network simulators.

The general form of the Kirchhoff-Fourier equation for nonstationary thermal conductivity in a moving medium is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}(\lambda \operatorname{grad} T)-c \gamma\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}+v_{x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}+v_{y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}+v_{z} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\right)+w=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{x}, v_{y}, v_{Z}$ are velocity components of the motion of the medium at a given point.
The equation of the temperature field, referred to coordinates moving with velocity $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ in the direction of the x -axis when $\lambda, c, \gamma, \mathrm{v}_{0}=-\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{X}}$ are constants, is a particular case of (1) but is of sufficiently wide application in studying heat-transfer processes in welding and melting, continuous sequence heat treatment, mechanical material treatment, etc. With the above assumptions, Eq. (1) can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}}-\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}+\frac{v_{0}}{a} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}+\frac{w}{\lambda}=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial and boundary conditions of the I-IV kind can be written in the same way as for a fixed coordinate system.

In [1] a method was given for simulating the solution of (2) electrically on ohmic resistance networks ( R -networks), when the following substitution was used to deduce the parameters of the R -network:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=u \varphi \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\exp \left(-\frac{v_{0} x}{2 a}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (2) we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}-\frac{v^{2}}{4 a^{2}} u-\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\frac{w}{\lambda \varphi}=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=U \Phi \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\exp \left(-\frac{v_{0} x}{2 a}-\frac{v_{0}^{2} t}{4 a}\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (2) we can obtain the simpler equation
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Fig.1. Diagram of an elementary volume (a) and the nodes of an R-network for simulating the solution of (2) electrically.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial z^{2}}-\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}+\frac{w}{\lambda \Phi}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., there is no additional heat sink $\left(\left(v_{0}^{2} / 4 a^{2}\right) \mathrm{u}\right)$, which simplifies the construction and use of an electrical model. The boundary conditions of the I-IV kind correspondingly take the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{\mathrm{s}, n}=U_{\mathrm{me}, n}  \tag{9}\\
\frac{\partial U_{\mathrm{s}}}{\partial n} \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}+\frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}}{\partial n} U_{\mathrm{s}}+\frac{q}{\lambda}=0  \tag{10}\\
\frac{\partial U_{\mathrm{s}}}{\partial n} \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}+\frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}}{\partial n} U_{\mathrm{s}}+\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\left(U_{\mathrm{me}} \Phi_{\mathrm{me}}-U_{\mathrm{s}} \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=0  \tag{11}\\
\lambda\left(\frac{\partial U_{\mathrm{s}}}{\partial n} \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}+\frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}}{\partial n} U_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\frac{\partial U_{\mathrm{me}}}{\partial n} \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}+\frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}}{\partial n} U_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the subscripts $s$ and me refer to the surface and the medium. The remaining notation is in common use.
If we write (8)-(12) in finite difference form, as in [2], and compare with the expressions of Kirchhoff's law for the currents at the nodes of R-networks, we obtain an expression for calculating the parameters of the R -network which solves the system (8)-(12).

From the values of $U$ which have been found, we can use (6) and (7) to determine $T$.
The determination of $T$ can be simplified if the network parameters are computed so that we can obtain the values of $T$ directly from the $R$-network.

We write Eq. (8) in finite difference form and for $U$ we substitute its value from (6) (at the same time we multiply all the terms of the finite difference equations by $\prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{ik}}$ and cancel common factors)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2\left(T_{1, n} \frac{\Phi_{0, n}}{\Phi_{1, n}}-T_{0, n}\right) \prod_{i=2,3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} h_{i k}}{h_{11} \Phi_{0, n}}+\frac{2\left(T_{2, n} \frac{\Phi_{0, n}}{\Phi_{2, n}}-T_{0, n}\right) \prod_{i=2,3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} h_{i k}}{h_{12} \Phi_{0, n}} \\
& +\frac{2\left(T_{3, n}-T_{0, n}\right) \prod_{i=1,3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} h_{i k}}{h_{21} \Phi_{0, n}}+\frac{2\left(T_{4, n}-T_{0, n}\right) \prod_{i=1,3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} h_{i k}}{h_{22} \Phi_{0, n}} \\
& +\frac{2\left(T_{5, n}-T_{0, n}\right) \prod_{i=1,2} \sum_{k=1}^{2} h_{i k}}{h_{31} \Phi_{0, n}}+\frac{2\left(T_{6, n}-T_{0, n}\right) \prod_{i=1,2} \sum_{k=1}^{2} h_{i k}}{h_{32} \Phi_{0, n}} \\
& +\frac{\left(T_{0, n-1} \frac{\Phi_{0, n}}{\Phi_{0, n-1}}-T_{0, n}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} h_{i k}}{a \delta t \Phi_{0, n}}+\frac{w \prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} h_{i k}}{\lambda \Phi_{0, n}}=0, \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $h_{i k}$ are space intervals on both sides of the node 0 (see Fig. 1); the axis $x-i=1$; the axis $y-i$ $=2$; the axis $\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{i}=3$. The time is $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{n} \delta \mathrm{t}$. In general the time interval $\delta \mathrm{t}$ may change during the solution.

The corresponding finite difference expressions for the boundary conditions of the II and III kind are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{T_{\mathrm{s}}-T_{m} \frac{\Phi_{\mathrm{s}, n}}{\Phi_{m, n}}}{h_{m}}+\frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}}{\partial n_{m}} \frac{T_{\mathrm{s}}}{\Phi_{\mathrm{s} n}}+\frac{q}{\lambda}=0  \tag{14}\\
\frac{T_{\mathrm{s}}-T_{m} \frac{\Phi_{\mathrm{s}, n}}{\Phi_{m, n}}}{h_{m}}+\frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}}{\partial n_{m}} \frac{T_{\mathrm{s}}}{\Phi_{\mathrm{s}, n}}+\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\left(T_{\mathrm{m}}-T_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=0 \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $h_{m}$ is the space interval along the normal to the surface $h_{m}$ is equal to that $h_{i k}$ which approaches the node on the surface from the side of the body).

If there is to be similarity between (13)-(15) and the expressions for Kirchhoff's law for the currents flowing into the corresponding nodes of the $R$-network, it is necessary that the parameters of the $R$-network should be as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{11}=\frac{h_{11} \Phi_{0, n}}{2 \prod_{i=2,3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} h_{i k}} R_{N}, R_{32}=\frac{h_{32} \Phi_{0, n}}{2 \prod \sum_{i=1,2}^{2} h_{k=1}} R_{N} \\
R_{t}=\frac{a \delta t \Phi_{0, n}}{\prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} h_{i k}} R_{N}, R_{w o}=\frac{\left(V_{\mathrm{M}}-V_{0, n}\right) K \lambda \Phi_{0, n}}{w \prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} h_{i k}} R_{N}  \tag{16}\\
R_{q}^{\prime}=\frac{\left(V_{\mathrm{m}}-V_{\mathbf{0}, n}\right) K \lambda R_{i k}}{h_{i k} q}, R_{q}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\Phi_{\mathrm{s}} R_{i k}}{\frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathrm{S}}}{\partial n_{m}} h_{i k}} \\
R_{\alpha \alpha}^{\prime}=\frac{\lambda R_{i k}}{\alpha h_{i k}}, R_{\alpha k}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\Phi_{\mathrm{s}} R_{i h}}{\frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathrm{S}}}{\partial n_{m}} h_{i k}}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the resistances $R_{11}, \ldots, R_{32}$ correspond to the space intervals $h_{11}, \ldots, h_{32}$ (see Fig. 1) and simulate the thermal heat conduction resistance; $R_{t}$ is the resistance through which passes the current simulating heat absorption or emission by the due to the heat capacity of an elementary volume $\prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{ik}}$ in a time interval $\delta t$. One end of the resistance $R_{t}$ is attached to the node, the other to a voltage divider from which the voltage $\mathrm{V}_{0, \mathrm{n}-1}$ is taken.

At the second end of the resistance $R_{W}$, voltage $V_{M}$ is applied to $R_{q}{ }_{q}$. If $V_{M} \gg V_{0, n}$, when $w, q$ are heat sources, or $V_{M} \ll V_{0, n}$, when $w, q$ are heat sinks, instead of ( $V_{M}-V_{0, n}$ ) in the expressions for $R_{w}, R_{q}$ we may put $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{M}}$. K is the scale of the transition from temperature to voltage:

$$
K=\frac{T_{\max }-T_{\min }}{V_{\max }-V_{\min }}
$$

For given boundary conditions of the I kind, voltages are applied to the nodes corresponding to $T_{S}$. At the free end of the resistance $R_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ a voltage is applied corresponding to the temperature $T_{m e}$ of themedium. At the free ends of the resistances $R_{q}^{\pi}$ and $R_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}$ the minimal voltage $V_{M}=0$ is applied. If $T_{m e}=0$ then

$$
R_{\alpha}=\left[\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{R_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}}\right]=R_{i k}\left[h_{m}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}+\frac{1}{\Phi_{\mathrm{s}}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}}{\partial n_{m}}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

For given boundary conditions of the IV kind, the networks simulating the bodies in contact are joined to each other.

If $\partial \Phi_{S} / \partial n_{m}=0$, which occurs if the normal to the surface lies in the plane perpendicular to the $x$-axis, the boundary conditions of the II and III kind are simulated using only the resistances $R_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and $R_{q}^{\prime}$.

The accuracy of the solution depends on the ratios $\Phi_{0} / \Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{0} / \Phi_{2}$; the closer they are to unity, the higher is the accuracy. The ratios $\Phi_{0} / \Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{0} / \Phi_{2} \rightarrow 1$ for $h_{1 k}=$ const and $x \rightarrow \infty$ or $h_{1 k} \rightarrow 0$ and $x=$ const, i.e., for network nodes with small values of $x$ we have to choose appropriately small values of $h_{1 k}$ and for nodes with large values of $x$, the intervals $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ can be increased.

A similar discussion holds for $\Phi_{0, \mathrm{n}-1} / \Phi_{0, \mathrm{n}}$.
In solving problems with moving concentrated heat sources the origin is linked to the position of the sources.

The quasistationary situation, when $\partial T / \partial t=0$ was discussed in [1].
In a similar manner the parameters of the $R$-network can be deduced for the original set of equations in nondimensional form.

If it cannot be assumed that $\lambda, \mathrm{c}, \gamma, \mathrm{v}$ are constant, the solution of Eq. (1) in the most general formulation can be cbtained by complicating the technique of solving on an R-network or by a combined model using the general method of [2], or on a special quasianalog model developed in [3, 4].
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